Cigarette Mainstream Smoke and Gas Phase-Depleted Particulate Phase Enhance Lung Tumorigenicity in the A/J Mouse

W. Stinn¹, A. Büttner¹, J.H.E. Arts², sponsor: H.-J. Haussmann¹; ¹PHILIP MORRIS Research Laboratories GmbH, Cologne, Germany; ²TNO Nutrition and Food Research, Zeist, Netherlands

Introduction

Several reports have described the causality between tobacco smoking and lung cancer (e.g., IARC 2004). Cigarette smoke-induced lung cancer models are needed for the evaluation of (a) biomarkers for early detection of disease. (b) chemoprevention, and (c) potential risk-reduced products (IOM 2001). Despite this, no reproducible and validated models have been established for this disease. One possible model under investigation is the A/J mouse

- A/J mouse studies have shown
- Reproducible enhancement of lung tumors after exposure to an environmental tobacco smoke surrogate (ETSS) for 5 months followed by 4 months without further exposure (Witschi et al., 2004; Stinn et al., 2005 accepted).
- No production or promotion of lung cancer after exposure to mainstream (MS) concentrations that were considered "maximally tolerable" for 6 months followed by only 5 weeks without further exposure (Finch et al., 1996).
- No induction of lung tumors after whole-body exposure to MS for 5 months followed by 4 months without further exposure (D/Agostini et al., 2001). Increased lung tumor multiplicity after exposure to MS for 5 months followed by 4 months without further
- exposure, but statistically significant only after serial step lung section analysis (Curtin et al., 2004).
- Additional research may help to establish and understand the A/J mouse as a model for lung tumors.

Objective

Investigate lung tumor incidence and multiplicity in the A/J mouse after chronic inhalation exposure to cigarette mainstream whole smoke (WS), to gas phase-depleted particle phase (PP), and to the gas phase (GP) as part of an overall effort to establish animal models for lung tumorigenicity

Materials and Methods

- Male A/J mice bred under SPF conditions, animals from Jackson Laboratories (Maine, U.S.A.)
 Whole-body exposure in 2.3-m³ Hazleton chambers
 6 hours(day; 5 days/week; 9 months
 5 months exposure, 4 months post-exposure
 Mainstream smoke from the Reference Cligarette 2R4 (TPM yield: 11.6 mg/cig.) generated under ISO conditions
 WS at TPM concentrations of 120 mg/m³ and 240 mg/m³
 PP generated by passing WS through an activated charcol filter; TPM concentration of 240 mg/m³
 GP generated by passing WS through an electrostatic filter; carbon monoxide (CO) concentration equivalent to 240 mg TPM/m³)
 Ford points Ind points
 body weight (weekly)
 carboxyhemoglobin (HbCO) in blood
 editoxyhemoglobin (HbCO) in blood
 editoxyhemoglobin (HbCO) in blood
 is mice/group; 5 times during exposure period
 gas chromatography of methane after reduction of the CO released from hemoglobin
 corticosterone in plasma (stress marker)
 8 mice/group; 2 times during exposure period
 tadioimmunoassay End points

- B mice/group; 5 and 9 months differentiation of free lung cells (FLC) in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) on May-Grünwald-Giemsa-stained cytosmears in BALF

 macroscopic lung tumor multiplicity and incidence
 100 microscopic counting of nodules after immersion in Tellyesniczky's fixative for 1 day followed by fixation in ethanol
 microscopy of lung sections
 65 to 97 microgroup; 9 months
 biotopathology of hematoxylin/eosin (HE)-stained step serial lung slides (distance: 100 µm)
 lung tumor classification according to WHO guidelines (2001)
 Statistical tests
 One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett:
 plasma corticosterone and FLC in BALF
 Chi-square test: lung tumor incidence
 Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunnett:
 lung tumor multiplicity
 biotopic test in the step cytosmears in BALF

- lung tumor multiplicity
- The study was conducted in accordance with the
- OECD principles of Good Laboratory Practice (1997) American Association for Laboratory Animal Science Policy on the Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1991)


Biological data represent means ± SE ★in tables and figures: statistically significant at p <0.05 compared to fresh air control

Results

Concentrations Relative to High Whole Smoke Gas Phase

Particle Phase

Particles and nicotine reduced by CO and HC similar to high whole smoke



Chemical Analysis

Parameter

TPM (mg/m³

article size (µm

nicotine (mg/m³)

acrolein (mg/m³)

HhCO

hydrocarbon in gas phase (mg CH₄/m³)

formaldehyde (mg/m³)

acetaldehyde (mg/m³)

Remarks: values represent mean ± SD bdl : below detection limit

Mortality Rate

Quality Parameters

Target concentrations were met

Fresh Air


0 + 1

0 ± 1

0.1 ± 0.1

0.02 ± 0.01 0.1 ± 0.0

Low WS group: between 23 and 28%High WS, PP, and GP groups: between 32 and 42%



The size of particles indicates that they were respirable for the mice.

127 + 11

0.38 + 3

Low WS High WS PP (120 µg TPM/I) (240 µg TPM/I)

227 ± 22

235 + 30


227 ± 22 235 ± 30 0.56 ± 3 0.52 ± 3 320 ± 41 384 ± 56 239 ± 24 145 ± 16

2.7 ± 0.6 5.8 ± 0.9 6.2 ± 0.8 0.3 ± 0.2

 L. F
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 0.05
 <th


4 + 11

219 ± 26



Body Weigh


PP similar to high WS GP less pronounced compared to low WS Second half of exposure period PP and GP similar to low WS




Plasma Cortic one l evel

Dose-dependent increase of WS

Similar stress effect of PP and G




- A distinct inflammation was indicated in the high WS group by a severe increase in neutrophils (29% of FLC in BALF) and macrophages in BALF at the end of the exposure period.
- Both cell types returned to control values at the end of the post-exposure period. 0.8



Lung Tumor Multi

Macroscopic Results



Macroscopic vs Microscopic Results · Step-serial lung section analysis confirmed the macroscopic results

macroscopic counting, N = 95-97 scopy of lung step serial sections, N = 65-97 3.0 s 2.5 Ë 2.0 2 1.5 1.0



- Hintly, Guideney, Van Marken, Van Herken, Karlen Karlen, Kar Karlen, Ka
- WHO, International Classification of Rodent Tumor. WHO, International Classification of Rodent Tumor. Witschi, H. et al., Inhal. Toxicol. 16:27-32 (2004) Witschi, H., Toxicol. Sci. 84 (1): 81087 (2005)

End of exposure period: <2% in all groups

End of post-exposure period: <6% in all groups

Walter.Stinn@pmintl.com


5-month exposure period (WS high group compared to control) • No difference in lung tumor incidence and multiplicity

- 4-month post-exposure period (all groups)
- WS enhanced lung tumor incidence and multiplicity in a dose-dependent manner: high WS 3-fold over control.
- The lung tumor response was similar for high WS and PP groups. GP failed to enhance lung tumor multiplicity above control level. This was unexpected because in previous studies with mice exposed to ETSS, lung tumor multiplicity and incidence were the same for whole smoke and gas phase (Witschi, 2005).

Group	5-Month Exposure		4-Month Post-Exposure	
	Ν	Incidence	Ν	Incidence
Fresh air control	98	32 = 33%	95	52 = 55%
low WS	-	-	96	71 = 74% ★
high WS	100	19 = 19%	96	82 = 85% 🗯
PP	-	-	97	78 = 80% ★
GP		-	97	56 = 58%

ectrum of Lung Tumors

- The lung tumor spectrum was the same in all groups.
- Bronchiolo-alveolar adenoma was the most prominent lung tumor type In humans carcinomas are the most prominent



- · Chronic mainstream smoke exposure caused a dose-dependent increase in lung tumor
- incidence and multiplicity. Lung tumor response was similar for high whole smoke and particle phase
- The gas phase, at the concentrations used, failed to enhance lung tumor multiplicity above control levels.

Conclusion

 While the results of these investigations suggest that the A/.I mouse may be a source in the results of these investigations suggest that the volume involve involve investigation of the second second

- disease. These issues include
- the time course of lung tumor development the lack of a shift in lung tumor spectrum, and
- the role of the gas phase

Further research is needed to establish the A/J mouse as a model for cigarette smokerelated lung tumorigenicity.

SOT 2005 New Orleans LALUS A