## Lung Tumor Response in Swiss SWR/J and A/J Mice after Exposure to Cigarette Mainstream Smoke

W. Stinn<sup>1</sup>, A. Büttner<sup>1</sup>, J.H.E. Arts<sup>2</sup>, C.F. Kuper<sup>2</sup>, and H.-J. Haussmann<sup>1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>PHILIP MORRIS Research Laboratories GmbH, Cologne, Germany; <sup>2</sup>TNO Quality of Life, Zeist, The Netherlands

#### Introduction

The risk of developing lung tumors from cigarette smoking in humans has been well established (IARC, 2004). Despite this causality establishing reproducible and validated animal inhalation models for lung cancer induced by tokacco smoking has proven difficult. These models might be useful for evaluating potential strategies for reducing the harm from smoking. Here we report on 2 mouse strains as possible models for lung tumorigenicity: AJ and Swiss SWR/J mouse.

- A/J mouse studies have shown: All mouse studies have shows: High postimations ling timor indicators and malipicity probabily due to satination of end/or mutations in High postimations ling timor indicators and malipicity probabily (Fiss1) local. Let et al. 1999) Reportucible enhancement of lang tumors after exposure to an environmental totacco annote surrogate (ETSS) (of 5 months followed by 4 montors without tumber exposure (bane) and 1, 2004. Since et al. 2006), Inconsistent lung tumor response after exposure to digatelte mainstream smoke (Finch et al., 1996; D'Agastriet al., 2001; Curin et al., 2004)

- Swiss SWR mouse studies have shown: Low spontaneous lung tumor incidence (<10%) and multiplicity (Witschi et al., 2002; De Flora et al., 2003) Sensitive to the induction of lung tumors following exposure to ETSS (Witschi et al., 2002; De Flora et al.,
- 2003

#### Objective

 Investigate and compare lung tumor incidence and multiplicity in A/J mice and Swiss SWR/J mice after chronic inhalation exposure to diluted cigarette mainstream smoke with regard to - the dose dependency of whole smoke.

- the influence of a post-exposure period, and

- the influence of different mainstream smoke aerosol phases.

#### Materials and Methods

VICEURIDIS Callford INVECTIOUS
 Mark 4.1 and Brans TMV in the bin of the operative generic participant equivalence in the operative sector of the operative sector of











Target concentrations were met. The size of the particles (0.4 to 0.5  $\mu m)$  indicates that they were respirable for

Gas-phase-depleted particle phase (PP)

100 20

fresh low high

riod; 7 to 8 mice per group

Results

the mice.

Chemical Analysis

Relative to Whole Smoke (WS)

FIL

tresh air
 tow W3
 Nigh W3
 PP
 QP

100 200

A/I Mouse

frash low high air WS WS

ose-dependent increase in WS groups milar stress effect in PP and GP groups

determined twice during ex





End of exposure period
 A severe inflammation was indicated in the high
WS group by a severe increase in neutrophils
(up to 30% of FLC) and macrophages in BALF.
 End of post-exposure period
 Both cell types returned rearly to control values.

A/J Swiss SWR/J

0.2

ronhile

0.30 1

(14, 3) siyo









# multiplicity and incidence were the same for whole smoke and gas phase (Witschi, 2005).



### 0 5 9 Time (months) Exposure Post-Exposure Time (months) Exposure Post-Exposure Lung Tumor Incidence 100



Post-Exposu



Walter.Stinn@pmintl.com

#### Conclusion

- . Lung tumor response after exposure to cigarette mainstream smoke was similar in A/J and Swiss SWR/J mice. · Lung tumor incidence and multiplicity in A/J and Swiss SWR/J
- mice increased with increasing whole smoke dose. There appears to be a need for a post-exposure period.
- to see an increase in lung tumor incidence and multiplicity in both mouse strains.
- In these mouse strains, lung tumor incidence and multiplicity are enhanced primarily by gas-phase-depleted particle phase and not by gas phase.

AACR 2005, April 1 to 5, 2005, Washington, DC, USA Parts of the AU mouse results presented at SOT 2005 This work was funded by PHILIP MCRRIS USA.