

Air Quality assessment during indoor use of the Tobacco Heating System 2.2

THS 2.2. is commercialized under the IQOS brand name

Maya Mitova, Catherine Goujon Ginglinger, Michel Rotach, Serge Maeder Philip Morris International R&D, Philip Morris Products S.A. (Part of Philip Morris International group of companies)

CORESTA October, 8-12. Kitzbühel (Austria)

www.pmiscience.com

PMI Tobacco Heating System 2.2 vs. cigarette



Heating maintains the tobacco temperature below combustion, which creates an aerosol with a very different composition compared to cigarette smoke

- Main constituents are water, glycerin and nicotine
- The concentrations of Harmful or Potentially Harmful Compounds (HPHCs) in THS 2.2 aerosol are reduced on average by 90 to 95% compared with smoke from a 3R4F standard reference cigarette (HC smoking regime)*.

*Schaller et al, 2016. Evaluation of the Tobacco Heating System 2.2 Part 2: Chemical composition, genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, and physical properties of the aerosol. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*



THS 2.2 in simulated indoor environments



Following the use of THS 2.2 under Residential category I and II, Office and Hospitality environments (EN 15251:2007)*:

- Only two compounds increased above background: nicotine and acetaldehyde
- Their levels much lower than maximum exposure levels in air quality guidelines

*Mitova et al, 2016. Comparison of the impact of the Tobacco Heating System 2.2 and a cigarette on indoor air quality. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.



IAQ room and simulated environments

Simulated environments: EN 15251:2007

Environments	Air changes [per hour]	Design Occupancy [m ² /person]	Test items				
Residential I	1.68	8	12				
Residential II	1.20	8	12				
Residential III	0.50	8	12				
Office	2.16	8	16				
Hospitality	7.68	4.8	32				



Air change: 0.5 to 12.2 per hour Ventilation: 37 to 879 m³/h Air filtration (dust, microparticles, VOCs) Low-emission / washable furniture Fans to homogenize air Temperature (23 \pm 3°C) & pressure controlled Humidity monitored (40-56 RH%)



www.pmiscience.com

Selection of markers

Category – (Norm) -[unit]	Constituents	Rationale for selection				
ISO measurement standards for ETS (ISO Norms 15593, 2001; 18144, 2003; 18145, 2002, 11454, 1997) - 5 - (-3)	RSP gravimetric, UVPM-THBP, FPM- scopoletin, Solanesol,	PM2.5 & tobacco smoke related particulate matter markers				
18145, 2003; 11454, 1997) - [μg/m ³]	3-Ethenylpyridine, <u>Nicotine</u>	Gas-phase tobacco smoke specific markers				
ISO measurement standard for TVOCs (ISO 16000-6, 2011) - $[\mu g/m^3]$	Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC)	Air quality marker				
Carbonyls - [µg/m ³]	Acetaldehyde, Acrolein, Crotonaldehyde, Formaldehyde	Relevance for air quality Relative abundance in THS2.2 aerosol (i.e. the most abundant)				
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - [µg/m ³]	Acrylonitrile, Benzene, 1,3-Butadiene, Isoprene, Toluene					
Tobacco-specific Nitrosamines (TSNAs) - [ng/m ³]	<u><i>N</i>-nitrosonornicotine (NNN)</u> <u>Nicotine derived nitrosamine ketone (NNK)</u>	Carbonyls, VOCs, TSNAs: part of the FDA list of HPHCs				
Product-specific compounds: aerosol formers- [µg/m ³]	Glycerin, Propylene Glycol	Product-specific markers				
Inorganics (CO [ppm], NO [ppb],NO _x [ppb])	Carbon monoxide, Nitrogen oxide, Nitrogen oxides	Gas-phase tobacco smoke non-specific markers Gas-phase combustion marker				

How to measure the impact of THS 2.2?

9:00	10:00	11:00	12:00	13:00	14:00	15:00			
Preparation of the room	People present not using any p	in the room product	Break Room ventilated	Same people, T according to st	End of the sessions				
					,				
	Backgrou How peop to indoor	und (BKG) le contribute air pollution		How product contributes to indoor air pollution					

- Concentration in product-use sessions is not increased above BKG: NO IMPACT ON IAQ
- Concentration is different between BKG and product-use sessions: THE IMPACT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PRODUCT-USE SESSION AND BKG
- Concentrations are compared to existing air quality guidelines (e.g. WHO, EU, MOH)



Example: Carbon monoxide

Non-specific marker of ETS. IAQ marker: 10 ppm (WHO)





Example: Formaldehyde

Non-specific marker of ETS. IAQ marker: 100 µg/m³ (WHO)



Example: Nicotine

Above background in THS 2.2 session but significantly lower compared with cigarette. EU: 500 µg/m³.



9

Example: Total Volatile Organic Compounds



Table of results

ug/m ³	Analyte [unit]	Residential I	Residential II	Residential III	Office	Hospitality	Residential I	Residential II	Residential III	Office	Hospitality		
0 1 5 10 15	RSP gravimetric [µg/m ³] UVPM-THBP [µg/m ³] FPM-scopoletin [µg/m ³] Solanesol [µg/m ³] 3-Ethenylpyridine [µg/m ³]	TI Envi (abse	HS 2.2 i ironmer ence of (is not a ntal Toba combusi	source of acco Sm tion mar	of loke kers)	236 39.6 8.05 10.2 6.02	268 40.8 8.5 9.84 7.61	642 92.1 20.4 23.8 10.5	204 38.5 7.88 10.2 6.39	147 18.4 4.04 4.68 3.94		PM2.5 & chemical
20 25 30 35 40	Acetaldehyde [µg/m ³] Acrolein [µg/m ³] Crotonaldehyde [µg/m ³] Formaldehyde [µg/m ³]	2.66 2.66	5.09	ds listed	3.65	1.40	29.7 70.2 6.94 2.19 27.1	29.1 83.8 5.65 2.11 35.5	49.8 123 11.6 3.54 58.4	58.8 6.42 2.04 28.9	33.1 3.03 0.99 17.5	-	Compou
50 60 70 80 90	Acrylonitrile [μg/m ²] Benzene [μg/m ³] 1,3-Butadiene [μg/m ³] Isoprene [μg/m ³] Toluene [μg/m ³]	Conc	THS 2 accentration mes be	ens of g	itine & <u>itine &</u> <u>itine &</u> <u>itine &</u> itine & itine	100 g	2.53 7.09 13 71.5 11.1	3.61 9.24 16.8 99.4 26.1	5.26 14.4 17.4 164 25	2.61 6.58 12.6 75.9 14.9	1.36 3.5 5.79 37 8.76		- Releva - Relativ aeroso
100 150 200 400 650	TVOC [µg/m ³] NNN [ng/m ³] NNK [ng/m ³] Glycerin [µg/m ³] Propylene glycol [µg/m ³]	nm nm nm nm	nm nm nm nm	12.1	nm nm nm nm	nm nm nm nm	nm nm nm nm nm	144 nm nm nm nm	451 8.89 1.49 10.3 60.5	nm nm nm nm nm	nm nm nm nm nm		- Product :
PMI SC	CO [ppm] NO [ppb] [] [= NO _x [ppb]		r	·		0.52	1.63 26.2 29.4	2.17 35.6 39.7	2.66 59.4 62.8	1.58 27 29.4	0.92 14.8 15.3	- }	∽ Gas-pha
THS vs Background (difference in units)						Cigarette vs Background (difference in units) nm – not			not measured				

PM2.5 & tobacco smoke-related chemical markers

Compounds selected based on:

Relevance for air quality
Relative abundance in THS 2.2 aerosol (i.e. the most abundant)

Product specific markers

Gas-phase combustion marker

11

Wrap-up

In simulations of residential environment with low air change and high consumption of THS 2.2 :

- Markers of combustion **are absent**
- THS 2.2 does not emit Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS)
- Out of the measured compounds only three compounds, **nicotine**, **acetaldehyde** and **glycerin** were found at very low concentrations. These levels are below the maximum exposure levels as defined in existing air quality guidelines.

Using THS 2.2 indoors has no negative impact on the overall air quality



Back-up slides

