

Molecular biomarkers elucidate the role of inflammation and oxidative stress in smoking-related diseases

17 May 2018

Presented by Dr. Nikolai Ivanov, Manager Research Technologies

This research was funded by Philip Morris International



Faculty Disclosure

	No, nothing to disclose
Х	Yes, please specify:

Company Name	Honoraria/ Expenses	Consulting/ Advisory Board	Funded Research	Royalties/ Patent	Stock Options	Ownership/ Equity Position	Employee	Other (please specify)
Philip Morris International							Х	

PMI's goal for harm reduction

Offering adult smokers satisfying products that reduce risk

- Smoking is addictive and causes a number of serious diseases
- Worldwide, it is estimated that more than one billion people will continue to smoke in the foreseeable future*



• Successful harm reduction requires that current adult smokers be offered a range of Reduced Risk Products (RRP) so that consumer acceptance can be best fulfilled



What is an RRP?

Reduced-Risk Products ("RRPs") is the term we use to refer to products that present, are likely to present, or have the potential to **present less risk of harm to smokers who switch to these products versus continued smoking**.

We have a range of RRPs in various stages of development, scientific assessment, and commercialization.

Because our RRPs do not burn tobacco, they produce far lower quantities of harmful and potentially harmful compounds than found in cigarette smoke. **Guidance for Industry**

Modified Risk Tobacco Product Applications

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only

Written comments and suggestions regarding this draft document may be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Maragement (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061, (HFA-305), Rockville, MD, 20852. Alternatively, electronic comments may be ubmitted to http://www.regulations.gov. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft guidance, contact the Center for Tobacco Products at (Tel) 1-877-CTP-1373 (1-877-287-1373) Monday-Friday, 9:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. EDT.

Additional copies are available online at

http://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/defaul Lhtm. You may send an e-mail request to SmallBiz.Tobacco@fda.hbs.gov to receive an electronic copy of this guidance. You may send a request for hard copies to U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Tobacco Products, Attn: Office of Small Business Assistance, Document Control Center, Building 71, Room G335, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002.

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Tobacco Products

> > March 2012



Two case studies

- Comparative assessment of lung inflammation, pulmonary function, and emphysema caused by the aerosol from potential Reduced Risk Products and cigarette smoke in mouse models of COPD.
- Summary of ambulatory exposure clinical ZRHM-REXA-07-JP study results





Case study: Comparative assessment of lung inflammation, pulmonary function, and emphysema caused by the aerosol from potential Reduced Risk Products and cigarette smoke in mouse models of COPD.

This research was funded by Philip Morris International

Aim and scope of the presentation

- Assessment of the effects of conventional cigarette smoke and RRP, using PMI's Heat-not-Burn technology, in an animal model of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD):
 ApoE^{-/-} mouse (C57BI6 background), typically used as model for cardiovascular disease
- The animal model is responsive to cigarette mainstream smoke and develop different pathologies, among which aspects of COPD, such as *lung inflammation*, changed *pulmonary function*, *emphysema**

*Lo Sasso G, Schlage WK, Boué S, Veljkovic E, Peitsch MC, Hoeng J. (2016) The Apoe(-/-) mouse model: a suitable model to study cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in the context of cigarette smoke exposure and harm reduction. J Transl Med. 2016 14(1):146.Epub. Review. **PMID 27207171**.

*Stinn W, Buettner A, Weiler H, Friedrichs B, Luetjen S, van Overveld F, Meurrens K, Janssens K, Gebel S, Stabbert R, Haussmann HJ. (2013) Lung inflammatory effects, tumorigenesis, and emphysema development in a long-term inhalation study with cigarette mainstream smoke in mice. Toxicol Sci. 131(2):596-611. PMID: 23104432



Common disease mechanisms in different mouse models, relevance to human situation



- Possible interrelationships and roles for the identified common mechanisms in five mouse models of emphysema in a framework of classical human COPD mechanisms.
 - Transcription factors (black font)
 - Inflammatory mediators (orange font)
 - Classical pathways of human COPD pathogenesis (*black arrows*)

From: Cabanski M, Fields B, Boue S, Boukharov N, DeLeon H, Dror N, Geertz M, Guedj E, Iskandar A, Kogel U, Merg C, Peck MJ, Poussin C, Schlage WK, Talikka M, Ivanov NV, Hoeng J, Peitsch MC. (**2015**): Transcriptional profiling and targeted proteomics reveals common molecular changes associated with cigarette smoke-induced lung emphysema development in five susceptible mouse strains. Inflamm Res.64(7):471-86. *PMID: 25962837*

Methods - Conventional cigarette smoke and aerosol from an RRP



Assessment of smoke/aerosol: Health Canada Intense smoke protocol

Smoke from University of Kentucky Standard Reference Cigarette 3R4F

<u>Potential RRP</u>: <u>Aerosol</u> from HeatSticks and Tobacco Heating System (THS) 2.2





ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Study design

- Comparative assessment of effects of THS 2.2 and 3R4F
- Switching design upon initiation of disease:
 - To assess reversibility (switch to fresh air, i.e., cessation)
 - To quantify how similar switching to THS 2.2 is to cessation





ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Methods - exposure regime





*29.9 μg/l nic corresponds to 6.5 mg/kg, daily dose- or the nicotine amount from approx. 32 cig/day for a 60 kg human, based on body surface comparison, Guidance document Heq dose, FDA

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Aerosol uptake (biomarkers of exposure)



ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Disease mechanisms - lung inflammation

Free lung cells in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF)



ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Disease mechanisms - lung inflammation

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Disease endpoints - lung function and lung volume

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Histopathology of the lung – pulmonary inflammation

*: Statistically significant compared to sham

- *: Statistically significant compared to 3R4F at Month 2
- Decrease in mean scores after switching to fresh air or THS 2.2 (statistically significant from Month 6)
- No statistically significant difference between Cessation group and THS 2.2-Switch group at Month 3

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching as an animal model of disease Result summary: Tissue changes – histopathology

*: Statistically significant compared to sham

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Lung tissue changes – morphometry

group

exposed group

- Increased DI in 3R4F-exposed

group

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Systems response profile - differential gene expression - lung

Coefficient

Coefficient

Coefficient

Coefficient

Coefficient

ApoE^{-/-} mouse switching study Result summary: Disease mechanisms - network perturbations - lung

ApoE^{-/-} mouse as an animal model of disease Summary and conclusions

- The ApoE^{-/-} mouse model is suitable for studying smoke-related aspects of COPD
- Continuous exposure to smoke from 3R4F causes lung inflammation, lung function, and emphysematous changes as of one month of treatment
- Continuous exposure to aerosol from THS 2.2 for up to eight months does not increase inflammation and emphysema in comparison with the Sham group
- Switching from cigarette smoke exposure after two months to fresh air (Sham) exposure reverses the onset of disease, as measured in apical, functional, and molecular endpoints
- Switching from cigarette smoke exposure to THS 2.2 aerosol exposure reverses the onset of disease in a similar manner as cessation

Phillips B, Veljkovic E, Boué S, Schlage WK, Vuillaume G, Martin F, Titz B, Leroy P, Buettner A, Elamin A, Oviedo A, Cabanski M, De León H, Guedj E, Schneider T, Talikka M, Ivanov NV, Vanscheeuwijck P, Peitsch MC, Hoeng J. (2016). An 8-Month Systems Toxicology Inhalation/Cessation Study in Apoe-/- Mice to Investigate Cardiovascular and Respiratory Exposure Effects of a Candidate Modified Risk Tobacco Product, THS 2.2, Compared With Conventional CigarettesToxicol Sci. 149(2):411-32. **PMID 26609137.**

Titz, B., Boue, S., Phillips, B., Talikka, M., Vihervaara, T., Schneider, T., Nury, C., Elamin, A., Guedj, E., Peck, M.J., Schlage WK, Cabanski M, Leroy P, Vuillaume G, Martin F, Ivanov NV, Veljkovic E, Ekroos K, Laaksonen R, Vanscheeuwijck P, Peitsch MC, Hoeng J.(2016). Effects of Cigarette Smoke, Cessation, and Switching to Two Heat-Not-Burn Tobacco Products on Lung Lipid Metabolism in C57BL/6 and Apoe-/- Mice-An Integrative Systems Toxicology Analysis. Toxicol Sci *149*, 441-457. **PMID 26582801.**

Lo Sasso, G., Titz, B., Nury, C., Boue, S., Phillips, B., Belcastro, V., Schneider, T., Dijon, S., Baumer, K., Peric, D, Dulize R, Elamin A, Guedj E, Buettner A, Leroy P, Kleinhans S, Vuillaume G, Veljkovic E, Ivanov NV, Martin F, Vanscheeuwijck P, Peitsch MC, Hoeng J. (2016). Effects of cigarette smoke, cessation and switching to a candidate modified risk tobacco product on the liver in Apoe-/- mice – a systems toxicology analysis. Inhal Toxicol. 28(5): 226-4. **PMID 27027324.**

Lo Sasso G, Schlage WK, Boué S, Veljkovic E, Peitsch MC, Hoeng J. (2016) The Apoe(-/-) mouse model: a suitable model to study cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in the SCIENCE context of cigarette smoke exposure and harm reduction. J Transl Med. 2016 14(1):146.Epub. Review. PMID 27207171.

Case study 2: Summary of ambulatory exposure clinical ZRHM-REXA-07-JP study results

A randomized, controlled, open-label, 3-arm parallel group, multicenter study to demonstrate reductions in exposure to selected smoke constituents in healthy smokers switching to the Tobacco Heating System 2.2 Menthol (THS 2.2 Menthol) or observing smoking abstinence, compared to continuing to use menthol conventional cigarettes, for 5 days in confinement and prolonged by 85 days in an ambulatory setting

Study products and interventions

 \bigcirc

= THSm2.2 (Tobacco Heating System 2.2 menthol)

= mCC (Menthol cigarettes)

Primary objective and endpoints

- To demonstrate the reduction of biomarkers of exposure (BoExp) to harmful and potentially harmful constituents (HPHC) in smokers switching from menthol cigarette (mCC) to THS 2.2 Menthol (THSm2.2) compared with smokers continuing to smoke mCC
- MHBMA, 3-HPMA, S-PMA, COHb after five days (confinement)
- Total NNAL after 90 days (ambulatory)

Additional objectives and endpoints

- To determine the reduction of a list of BoExp and to determine the levels of nicotine over the entire exposure period
- To evaluate self-reported nicotine/tobacco product use and human smoking topography
- To describe product evaluation and subjective effects of smoking
- To monitor selected risk markers and the safety profiles

Study design

 \bigcirc

Abbreviations: mCC = Menthol conventional cigarette(s); THS = Tobacco Heating System; Figure not to scale.

Japanese Population Characteristics

Characteristics	THSm2.2 (N=78)	mCC (N=42)	SA (N=40)	Overall (N=160)
Females – n(%)	33 (42.3)	17 (40.5)	18 (45.0)	68 (42.5)
Age (years) - Mean±SD	37 ± 11	37 ± 11	37 ± 10	37 ± 11
BMI Normal Weight-n(%)	60 (76.9)	32 (76.2)	32 (80.0)	124 (77.5)
Daily mCC Consumption– n(%) 10-19 cig/day > 19 cig/day	40 (51.3) 38 (48.7)	23 (54.8) 19 (45.2)	21 (52.5) 19 (47.5)	84 (52.5) 76 (47.5)
ISO Tar yields – n(%) 1-5 mg 6-8 mg 9-10 mg > 10 mg	46 (59.0) 21 (26.9) 7 (9.0) 4 (5.1)	22 (52.4) 14 (33.3) 4 (9.5) 2 (4.8)	23 (57.5) 12 (30.0) 2 (5.0) 3 (7.5)	91 (56.9) 47 (29.4) 13 (8.1) 9 (5.6)
ISO Nicotine ≤ 0.6mg – n(%)	63 (80.8)	32 (76.2)	30 (75.0)	125 (78.1)

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL

THSm2.2= THS 2.2 Menthol, mCC menthol Conventional Cigarettes, SA: smoking abstinence, SD: standard deviation

Biomarkers following 90 days of exposure (1/3)

 \mathcal{D}

Biomarkers following 90 days of exposure (2/3)

Biomarkers following 90 days of exposure (3/3)

 \mathcal{O}

Product Use – Average Daily Product Use

 \bigcirc

Time point	THSm2.2 Mean±SD	mCC Mean±SD
Baseline mCC	13.1±3.8	12.5±3.9
Day 5	13.9±4.3	13.6±4.7
Day 5-30	11.7±5.9	13.8±4.2
Day 30-60	12.7±6.2	14.9±5.7
Day 60-90	12.7±6.5	15.2±5.0

Nicotine Uptake

Exposure THSm2.2 vs. mCC

- Nicotine uptake profile is similar, marginally higher for THSm2.2
 - 80% of subjects smoke low-nicotine containing mCC.
- A process of adaptation is observed throughout the 3-month period, resulting in a 4% difference on day 90.
 - Nicotine yield in mCC variable, and fixed in THSm2.2

Selected Clinical Risk Endpoints (indicative results, not statistically significant)

 \bigcirc

Disease Pathway	Marker	Expected SA Effect at 6m ^(*)	REXA-07 SA Effect at 3m	REXA-07 THS Effect at 3m
Lipid Metabolism	HDL-C	4.13 mg/dL	6.4 mg/dL	4.5 mg/dL
Inflammation	WBC	-0.81 10 ⁹ /L	-0.41 10 ⁹ /L	-0.57 10 ⁹ /L
Airway Impairment	FEV ₁	2.18 %pred	1.93 %pred	1.91 %pred
Endothelial Dysfunction	sICAM-1	20.0 %reduction	10.9 %reduction	8.7 %reduction
Oxidative Stress	8-epi-PGF _{2α}	32.0 %reduction	6.0 %reduction	12.7 %reduction
Clotting	11-DTX-B ₂	22.0 %reduction	19.4 %reduction	9.0 %reduction

(*) Expected SA effect from literature data

- No serious or severe adverse events (AE).
- During the run-in (product test), 22 AEs observed in 16 (9%) of 175 enrolled.
- Following randomization, 49 AEs in 32 (41%) subjects in THS, 22 AEs in 14 subjects for both mCC (33%) and SA (35%) arms. One mild, unexpected AE related to product in THS arm (diarrhea). Most frequent AEs were decreased hemoglobin and neutrophils.
- No clinically relevant abnormality in vital signs, ECGs, or physical examination.

Publications for the clinical study

- Lüdicke F, Picavet P, Baker G, Haziza C, Poux V, Lama N, Weitkunat R. Effects of Switching to the Tobacco Heating System 2.2 Menthol, Smoking Abstinence, or Continued Cigarette Smoking on Biomarkers of Exposure: A Randomized, Controlled, Open-Label, Multicenter Study in Sequential Confinement and Ambulatory Settings (Part 1). Nicotine Tob Res. 2018 Jan 5;20(2):161-172.
- Lüdicke F, Picavet P, Baker G, Haziza C, Poux V, Lama N, Weitkunat R. Effects of Switching to the Menthol Tobacco Heating System 2.2, Smoking Abstinence, or Continued Cigarette Smoking on Clinically Relevant Risk Markers: A Randomized, Controlled, Open-Label, Multicenter Study in Sequential Confinement and Ambulatory Settings (Part 2). Nicotine Tob Res. 2018 Jan 5;20(2):173-182.

Common conclusion

- Both studies demonstrated that switching from mC smoking to THSm2.2 aerosol resulted in substantial reductions in exposure to selected HPHCs.
- Reduction following switching to THSm2.2 achieved HPHC levels close to those observed following smoking abstinence (SA).
- Initial exploratory clinical data on monitored risk markers, THS 2.2 use is associated with favorable shifts in the direction of SA. Similarly, in ApoE^{-/-} mice, switching from cigarette smoke exposure to THS 2.2 aerosol exposure reverses the onset of disease in a similar manner as cessation.

Thank you for your attention