

Translatability assessment and linguistic validation of the ABOUT[™]–Dependence instrument into German, Italian, Japanese, and Russian

Catherine Acquadro¹, Jennifer Lambe¹, Adeline Verne¹, Agnes Bacso², Esther Afolalu², Linda Abetz-Webb³ Christelle Chrea²

¹¹CON plc, Language Services, 27 Rue de la Villette, Lyon ²PMI R&D, Philip Morris Products S.A., Quai Jeanrenaud 5, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland ³Patient-Centered Outcomes Assessments Ltd., 1 Springbank, Bollington, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 5LQ, United Kingdom

Virtual ISOQOL 2020

Oral Session 105.1: Efficiency and quality in the development and application of PROs



The research described in this presentation was sponsored by Philip Morris International.



Chrea, Acquadro, Afolalu et al. Developing fit-for-purpose self-report instruments for assessing consumer responses to tobacco and nicotine products: the ABOUT™ Toolbox initiative. F1000Research 2018, 7:1878 doi: 10.12688/f1000research.16810.1

Page 2



Assessment of Dependence

Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND)

麆	\$
\square	

Created by chapp

Assessment of perceived dependence a priority research area in evaluating the potential public health impact of reduced risk products (RRPs)* and to enable comprehensive assessment of the likelihood of initiation, maintenance, or persistence of use.



Fagerström K. 0. (1978). Measuring Degree of Physical Dependence to Tobacco Smoking with Reference to Individualization of Treatment. *Addictive behaviors*, 3(3-4), 235–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(78)90024-2 Heatherton, T. F., Kozlowski, L. T., Frecker, R. C., & Fagerström, K. O. (1994). The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction*, 86(9), 1119–1127. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(78)90024-2 Heatherton, T. F., Kozlowski, L. T., Frecker, R. C., & Fagerström, K. O. (1994). The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction*, 86(9), 1119–1127. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x FDA (2012) Modified Risk Tobacco Product Applications Draft Guidance https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x FDA (2012) Modified Risk Tobacco Product Applications Draft Guidance https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x FDA (2012) Modified Risk Tobacco Product Applications Draft Guidance https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x FDA (2012) Modified Risk Tobacco Product Applications Draft Guidance https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x Cigarette by Andrew Nielsen from the Noun Project



*Reduced-risk products ("RRP") is the term Philip Morris International uses to refer to products that present, are likely to present, or have the potential to present, less risk of harm to smokers who switch to these products versus continued smoking.



ABOUT–Dependence Development Overview

experts, patient

Develo con

Quali

asses

of d

elopment of the nceptual model	 Expert panel (nicotine addiction experts, patient reported outcome experts, psychometricians) 11-item draft instrument
tative research to s content validity lraft instrument	 Interviews in Charlotte, North Carolina, USA N = 40 (20 single users and 20 poly users across different products) Concept elicitation interviews and cognitive debriefing of draft instrument
pert consensus ing and feedback om qualitative	 Review of the conceptual model, modifications to wordings, removal of 3 items and addition of new 11 items Revised 19-item draft instrument

•EMBASE literature review

Exp meet fro research

> •Web survey in US adults (N = 2434); a subsection (n = 1421) for test-retest assessment

•Single and poly users across different products **Psychometric validation** (cigarette, cigars/cigarillos, e-cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, pipe, waterpipe, NRTs)

> • Psychometric assessment (Rasch measurement methods and classical test theory)

> > Page 4

Final instrument in US English: 12 items and 3 domains

- Extent of use (two items) •
- Signs and symptoms (five items) ٠
- Behavioral impact (five items) ٠





Translatability Assessment

- A * * *
- Translatability Assessment (TA) defined as "evaluation of the extent to which a measure can be meaningfully translated into another language".
- Objectives:
 - Identify possible linguistic, conceptual, or cultural difficulties
 - Optimize source instrument for future conceptually and culturally appropriate translations
- Process:
 - Performed on a draft version of the instrument during the development stage
 - Preparation, review, recommendations, and documentation (report)
 - Recommendations implemented or considered for future translations

Acquadro et al. Translation and Cultural Adaptation Special Interest Group (TCA-SIG) (2017). Emerging good practices for Translatability Assessment (TA) of Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO) measures. Journal of patient-reported outcomes, 2(1), 8.



Translatability Assessment - Findings

- Instrument of reasonable length and likely to be positively accepted by respondents.
- A few cultural and linguistic issues identified:
 - <u>How much do you feel you need your product(s) to function</u> <u>"normally"</u> item: may need explanation and/or alternative wording and qualifier in Japanese and Italian to be properly translatable
 - Interpretation of response scale of some items (Not at all / A little / Moderately / Very much / Extremely)
 - Syntactic length and presentation of translated items
- Adjustments suggested to facilitate comprehension and the translation process.



Linguistic Validation 📒 🚺 💽 🚃

Step 3. Backward

Translation

Step 1. Conceptual analysis of source instrument

Step 2. Forward Translation Step 4. Cognitive Interviews with adult users (n=6 for German, Italian, Japanese; n=5 Russian) and external review

Step 5. Proofreading and finalization

Translation issues across the 4 languages categorized as: Cultural Semantic Syntactic Idiomatic

Acquadro C., Jambon B., Ellis D. and Marquis P. Language and translation issues. In Spliker B, ed. Quality of Life and Pharmacoeconomics in Clinical Trials. Philadelphia: Lippincott:Raven Publishers, 1996: 575-585. Linguistic Validation Manual for Health Outcomes Assessments. Acquadro C, Conway K, Giroudet C, Mear I. Second Edition - MAPI Institute, Lyon, France, January 2012 - ISBN: 2-9522021-0-9. Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, et al.: Principles of Good Practice for the Translation and Cultural Adaptation Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures: report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation and Cultural Adaptation Process for Patient-Reported Outcomes (PRO) Measures: report of the ISPOR Task Force for Translation and Cultural Adaptation DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1524-4733.2005.04054.x</u>





Linguistic Validation – Findings

	Issues across all languages 🛛 💻 📗 🔲 🚃			
ABOUT-Dependence Items (<i>shortened/paraphrased</i>)	Semantic	Syntactic	Idiomatic	Total issue with item
1. On average how soon after woke up used first product	3	1	0	4
2. On average how long before going to sleep used last product	2	0	0	2
3. Need product(s) to function "normally"	0	0	3	3
4. Difficult to completely quit	0	0	0	0
5. Strong desire to use	0	0	0	0
6. Use more than intended	0	0	0	0
7. HAD to have one	0	1	1	2
8. Use in a situation not supposed to	1	0	0	1
9. Hard to control the need or urge to use	1	0	1	2
10. Sneak off to use	0	0	0	0
11. Avoid an activity because couldn't use	1	0	0	1
12. Stop what doing to use	1	0	0	1
Total issues with items	9	2	5	16



Linguistic Validation – Findings

Item #1 and general issue: "Using" tobacco and/or nicotine products (TNPs)

• Semantic issues in *German and Italian*, the literal translation of *"use"* not contextually appropriate for TNPs; replaced by *"consume"* in multiple items.

Item #3 How much do you feel you need your product(s) to function "normally"

- Translation of idiomatic expression proved to be challenging
- Leveraged insights from translatability assessment findings
 - o [Italian] How much...need product(s) to act "in a normal way"?
 - [Japanese] How much...need product(s) to carry out your daily living as usual
 - [Russian] How strong...feeling that need product(s) to function "normally"

Item #7 How often did you feel that you "HAD to have one"?

• Modified to *"I must use it"* in *Japanese* to transfer the syntactic and idiomatic emphasis provided by the capital letters.



Linguistic Validation – Findings

Item #8 How often did you use your product(s) in a situation where you weren't supposed to?

- In Russian, it was recommended to translate *"not supposed to"* with a *place/situation "not allowed to"* semantics discrepancy from original concept from a social and regulatory perspective.
 - ✓ [Japanese] Use in a situation where, properly speaking, I must not use it
 - ✓ [German] Consume in a situation in which it was not appropriate
 - ✓ [Italian] Consume in a situation where you should not have done it
- Russian translations modified to match the original concept and item concept definition of this item in English revisited for clarification.
- Finding emphasized importance of clear a priori conceptual definition of items.



Conclusion

- Combination of the Translatability Assessment and Linguistic Validation processes ensured reliable translation of the ABOUT–Dependence and applicability in German, Italian, Japanese, and Russian.
- Ensures validity and conceptual equivalence to assess and evaluate data on the concept of perceived dependence across different TNPs in a comparable way across different languages and cultures.
- Conditions to access and use the ABOUT–Dependence translations on PROQOLID, via the Mapi Research Trust eProvide platform <u>https://eprovide.mapi-trust.org/instruments/about-dependence</u>





