Exposure to Cigarette Smoke and Aerosol from the Candidate Modified Risk Tobacco Product THS2.2 on A/J Mice in a Life-Time Inhalation Study
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Introduction

Smoking causes many serious diseases, including lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Mouse models have been employed to study the carcinogenicity of chemical compounds and infer underlying mechanisms of lung tumor development in humans. Different strains of mice display markedly varied sensitivity to lung tumor development. For example, mice of the C57/Bl6 strain are rather resistant to tumor induction, while Balb/c mice are considered intermediate in susceptibility. In contrast, the A/J mouse is highly susceptible to lung tumor induction and has been widely used as a screening system in carcinogenicity testing. Although this mouse strain is developing lung tumors spontaneously, an 18-month inhalation period was previously found to be sufficient in eliciting a CS concentration-dependent lung tumor response. Moreover, gene and microRNA (miRNA) expression analysis demonstrated that lung tumors developing in MS-exposed A/J mice exhibit mRNA signatures compared to spontaneously arising tumors, and that these differences can be harnessed in the form of a gene signature to potentially predict the extent of the tumor. Here, we report on an 18-month in vivo inhalation study, performed on A/J mice, to assess the chronic toxicity of exposure to aerosol from THS2.2, a candidate modified risk tobacco product (mRTP), and to compare it with the toxicity of smoke from the 3R4F reference cigarette (CS). This mouse model has previously been shown to be susceptible to develop lung tumors and has been used in many carcinogenicity studies.

Study Design andEndpoints

Female A/J mice were whole-body exposed to filtered air (Sham) to three concentrations of THS2.2 aerosol (6.7, 13.4, 26.8 μg/L nicotine) and one concentration of 3R4F CS (13.4 μg/L nicotine) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for up to 18 months; male mice were exposed to filtered air (Sham) and the highest concentration of THS2.2 aerosol (Figure 1). Care and use of the mice was in accordance with the National Laboratory for Laboratory Animal Research Guideline 2004. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Animals were observed on a daily basis, body weight progression was monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Toxicological assessment was performed according to published standard methods including histopathological evaluation, which is currently still ongoing. Interim dissections were performed after 1, 5, and 10 months of exposure. At months 1 and 5, animals were also monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Histopathological, clinical, and biochemical parameters were measured at the end of the study at month 18, and included visual observation, body weight, food consumption, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, hematology, and blood cell counts.

General Health, Survival, Hematology and Clinical Chemistry

Female A/J mice were whole-body exposed to filtered air (Sham), to three concentrations of THS2.2 aerosol (6.7, 13.4, 26.8 μg/L nicotine) and one concentration of 3R4F CS (13.4 μg/L nicotine) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for up to 18 months; male mice were exposed to filtered air (Sham) and the highest concentration of THS2.2 aerosol (Figure 1). Care and use of the mice was in accordance with the National Laboratory for Laboratory Animal Research Guideline 2004. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Animals were observed on a daily basis, body weight progression was monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Toxicological assessment was performed according to published standard methods including histopathological evaluation, which is currently still ongoing. Interim dissections were performed after 1, 5, and 10 months of exposure. At months 1 and 5, animals were also monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Histopathological, clinical, and biochemical parameters were measured at the end of the study at month 18, and included visual observation, body weight, food consumption, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, hematology, and blood cell counts.

Histopathology and Systems Toxicological Assessment

Female A/J mice were whole-body exposed to filtered air (Sham), to three concentrations of THS2.2 aerosol (6.7, 13.4, 26.8 μg/L nicotine) and one concentration of 3R4F CS (13.4 μg/L nicotine) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for up to 18 months; male mice were exposed to filtered air (Sham) and the highest concentration of THS2.2 aerosol (Figure 1). Care and use of the mice was in accordance with the National Laboratory for Laboratory Animal Research Guideline 2004. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Animals were observed on a daily basis, body weight progression was monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Toxicological assessment was performed according to published standard methods including histopathological evaluation, which is currently still ongoing. Interim dissections were performed after 1, 5, and 10 months of exposure. At months 1 and 5, animals were also monitored once per week, exposure parameters were measured at regular intervals. Histopathological, clinical, and biochemical parameters were measured at the end of the study at month 18, and included visual observation, body weight, food consumption, clinical chemistry, urinalysis, hematology, and blood cell counts.

Conclusions

The characterization of the aerosol components as well as biomarkers of aerosol uptake indicated that the delivery and uptake of 3R4F CS and THS2.2 aerosol by the mice were in line with expectations. The test atmosphere was well tolerated as reflected by the progressive weight gain over time. Exposure to CS induced changes in red and white blood cell profiles, liver function and metabolic parameters, but only minimal changes were observed following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. Exposure to CS also resulted in histopathological changes in the nose and trachea, while no significant effects were observed following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. Significant changes in the nasal and tracheal epithelial transcriptomes and the nasal epithelial proteome were observed following CS exposure. The perturbed mechanisms are consistent with CS exposure, but only minor perturbations were noted in nose and trachea following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. With the histopathological evaluation of the lungs and other organs still ongoing, no final conclusion regarding the chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity of THS2.2 can be drawn at this point.
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Figure 1. Schematic overview of study design, endpoints and interim dissection time points

Figure 2. A) Nicotine and (B) CO concentration in the exposure chambers. Data are presented as an average ± SEM. The nicotine concentration in the exposure chambers was slightly lower than the targeted concentration.

Figure 3. Total urinary nicotine metabolites following 13 (male mice) or 15 (female mice) of exposure. (A) Blood carcinobromethylguanine levels following 16 months (female mice) of exposure. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Lines indicate statistical group comparisons (P values below 0.05, not adjusted).

Figure 4. Body weight development throughout the course of the study.

Figure 5. Survival statistics for (A) female and (B) male A/J mice. Data are presented as Kaplan-Meier survival probability estimates throughout the course of the study + indicates censored (unknown survival time).

Figure 6. (A) Red blood cell (erythrocyte), (B) total white blood cell, (C) absolute lymphocyte counts and (D) absolute neutrophil counts in blood of in (A) mice following 15 (male mice) or 18 (female mice) months of exposure. Data are presented as median ± mean absolute deviation (MAD). Lines indicate significant differences between groups (p<0.05, not adjusted).

Figure 7. (A) Total protein, (B) alkaline phosphatase (ALP), (C) glucose and (D) total cholesterol levels in serum of in (A) mice following 15 (male mice) or 18 (female mice) months of exposure. Data are presented as median ± mean absolute deviation (MAD). Lines indicate significant differences between groups (p<0.05, not adjusted).

Figure 8. (A) Mouse nasal epithelial responses. (A) Histopathological findings, (B) systems response profiles, (C) biological impact, (D) mechanistic responses and (E) differential protein abundances following 15 (male mice) or 18 (female mice) months of exposure. Shown are mean severity scores (STEM) for nasal respiratory epithelial hyperplasia and chronic inflammation (CL) of male and female rats at month 15. Values plots were generated to demonstrate systems responses in nasal epithelium (B). Each dot in a plot represents a gene. Gene expression changes (x-axis) are expressed as log₂-fold changes, increased when x>0 and decreased when x<0 as compared with Sham. The statistical significance (y-axis) is represented as log₂-fold (value for FDR). The horizontal line represents statistical significance (y-log₂,0.05). The bar plot (2) aspects HP values for each of the stem response (HP=1000, HP=10). The values (1) show how similar the underlying network perturbations are with respect to the FDR. Scores were computed using transcriptionics profiling data from nasal epithelia. (D) Stacks illustrating the response of the mechanisms, for each the treatment groups (A: Sham, B: THS2.2). The surface area of each slice is proportional to the contribution of that mechanism to the overall FDR of the treatment. The heatmap (3) depicts fold changes in protein abundances (protein symbols listed on the right) relative to corresponding ex-exposed controls expressed as log₂-fold change (value: []), increased and decreased fold changes for the top 30 proteins are represented by orange blue color, respectively, with darker colors indicating greater absolute fold changes.

Figure 9. A/J mouse tracheal epithelial responses. (A) Histopathological findings at month 10, (B) systems responses profiles, (C) biological impact and (D) mechanistic response following 15 (male mice) or 18 (female mice) months of exposure.

The characterization of the aerosol components as well as biomarkers of aerosol uptake indicated that the delivery and uptake of 3R4F CS and THS2.2 aerosol by the mice were in line with expectations. The test atmosphere was well tolerated as reflected by the progressive weight gain over time. Exposure to CS induced changes in red and white blood cell profiles, liver function and metabolic parameters, but only minimal changes were observed following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. Exposure to CS also resulted in histopathological changes in the nose and trachea, while no significant effects were observed following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. Significant changes in the nasal and tracheal epithelial transcriptomes and the nasal epithelial proteome were observed following CS exposure. The perturbed mechanisms are consistent with CS exposure, but only minor perturbations were noted in nose and trachea following THS2.2 aerosol exposure. With the histopathological evaluation of the lungs and other organs still ongoing, no final conclusion regarding the chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity of THS2.2 can be drawn at this point.